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**BASIS FOR “URGENT ACTION” REQUEST**

After almost four years in detention, Annakurban Amanklychev continues to languish in the custody of the Turkmen government authorities. Officials of the Turkmen Ministry of National Security arrested Mr. Amanklychev on June 16, 2006. Officials admitted arresting him due to his journalism and human rights activities, and later tried and convicted him based on unrelated, fabricated charges.

There are four compelling reasons why the Working Group should consider Mr. Amanklychev’s case pursuant to the “Urgent Action” procedure. First, Mr. Amanklychev has been subjected to torture and other physical abuse at the hands of the Turkmen government while in custody. While the extent of Mr. Amanklychev’s abuse is not currently known, soon after his arrest a Turkmen Interior Ministry official involved in his detainment told his family, “You wouldn’t recognize him. After three days of uninterrupted questioning, he’s simply unrecognizable.” According to Mr. Amanklychev’s own accounts, he has been physically threatened and abused, held in solitary confinement, and deprived of food, water, medical attention, and even use of the lavatory. He has also been reportedly administered psychotropic drugs, forced to confess to fabricated charges, and threatened with harm to his family if he did not cooperate.

Second, it is likely that Mr. Amanklychev will continue to be physically abused and tortured. Since Mr. Amanklychev has been subjected to physical abuse and torture in the past, this reasonably leads to the conclusion that he will be physically abused and tortured again. In fact, the use of torture in Turkmen prisons is widely reported. Commenting on this, exiled former Turkmenistan Foreign Minister Avdy Kuliev has observed, “I think it’s probably better to be sentenced to a firing squad than to be imprisoned in Turkmenistan.”

Third, it has been widely reported that Mr. Amanklychev’s colleague, Ogulsapar Muradova, was tortured and murdered by Turkmen government officials. Ms. Muradova was arrested just two days after Mr. Amanklychev’s arrest, and was convicted together with Mr. Amanklychev and a third colleague, Sapardurdy Kadzhiev. On September 14, 2006, Turkmen authorities informed Ms. Muradova’s family that she had died in custody. Though the Turkmen government claimed that she died of “natural causes,” her body was badly beaten.

---

and prosecution of the three journalists was handled as a single coordinated undertaking, it is likely that all three detainees have received, and continue to receive, similar treatment in jail. Ms. Muradova’s suspicious death in custody is telling of the circumstances that Mr. Amanklychev likely has endured and continues to face.

Finally, Mr. Amanklychev’s case should be considered under “Urgent Action” procedures due to his ailing health. Recent reports indicate that Mr. Amanklychev’s “health has deteriorated” and that he is suffering “ailments affecting the stomach, kidneys, legs and joints,” and blood pressure. His health is further endangered by the conditions in which he is detained. Currently, Mr. Amanklychev is imprisoned in Turkmenbashi high-security prison in the Caspian Sea desert area in Turkmenistan. This prison is known for its extreme hot/cold climate, and its inmates are forced to perform agricultural labor. Furthermore, Turkmen prison facilities are generally reported as being tuberculosis-ridden, overcrowded, and providing inadequate medical attention or nutrition.

This is a case “in which there are sufficiently reliable allegations that a person is being arbitrarily detained and that the continuation of such deprivation constitutes a serious threat to that person’s health or even to his life.” Consequently, the Petitioner respectfully urges the Working Group to take the urgent nature of Mr. Amanklychev’s situation into account.

Finally, the Petitioner respectfully requests that this Petition be considered a formal request for an opinion of the Working Group pursuant to Resolution 1997/50 of the Commission on Human Rights and reaffirmed by Resolutions 2000/36, 2003/31 and Human Rights Council Resolution 6/4.

MODEL QUESTIONNAIRE

I. IDENTIFY OF THE PERSON ARRESTED OR DETAINED

1. Family Name: Amanklychev


9 See id.


12 The Turkmen government’s limitations on access to Mr. Amanklychev (even by members of his own family) make it extremely difficult to acquire all of the information requested in the Working Group’s questionnaire. Nevertheless, previous Working Group opinions hold that the powerlessness to acquire all of the information “shall not directly or indirectly result in the inadmissibility of the communication.” See, e.g., Report of the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention, E/CN.4/1997/4 (Dec. 17, 1996), Annex 1, at ¶ 8. Consequently, the factual assertions in this Petition are derived from published newspaper and NGO accounts, and from sources close to Mr. Amanklychev, including his colleagues at the Turkmen Helsinki Foundation, a former attorney, and his family.
2. **First Name:** Annakurban Atabalovich

3. **Sex:** Male

4. **Birth date or age (at time of detention):** February 7, 1971

5. **Nationality/Nationalities:** Turkmen

6. **(a) Identity document (if any):** Passport
   
   **(b) Issued by:** Turkmenistan
   
   **(c) On (date):** 11.12.2003
   
   **(d) No.:** 309297

7. **Profession and/or activity (if believed to be relevant to the arrest/detention):**
   Annakurban Amanklychev is an independent journalist and member of the Turkmenistan Helsinki Foundation (THF), a Bulgaria-based, independent non-profit organization established in 2003 to “watch human rights, freedom and protection of people of Turkmenistan and to sharp [sic] the attention of the democratic world to the situation in the country.” He has recently worked with foreign journalists, a French television company called Galaxie Presse and the British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC). Mr. Amanklychev has also participated in peaceful and non-violent human rights training exercises in Ukraine and Poland.

8. **Address of usual residence:** Ashgabat, Ul. P. Morozova, d. 56

II. **ARREST**

1. **Date of arrest:** June 16, 2006.

2. **Place of arrest (as detailed as possible):** Mr. Amanklychev was arrested at his home in Ashgabat, Turkmenistan.

3. **Forces who carried out the arrest or are believed to have carried it out:** The arrest was carried out by officials of the Ministry of National Security of Turkmenistan.

4. **Did they show a warrant or other decision by a public authority:** The arresting officers did not show a warrant or other decision by a public authority, nor did they disclose to Mr.

---
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Amanklychev the basis for his arrest. Rather, Mr. Amanklychev was orally asked to “follow the officials” so as “to clarify certain information.”

5. Authority who issued the warrant or decision: N/A

6. Relevant legislation applied (if known): Mr. Amanklychev was later charged with possession of illegal munitions in violation of Article 287.2 of the Turkmen Criminal Code. He was not formally charged with violating any other laws.

III. DETENTION

1. Date of detention: Mr. Amanklychev has been held in custody since his arrest on June 16, 2006.

2. Duration of detention: Mr. Amanklychev has now been detained for almost four years.

3. Forces holding the detainee under custody: Mr. Amanklychev is being held by the Ministry of National Security of the Government of the Republic of Turkmenistan.

4. Places of detention (indicate any transfer and present place of detention): Following his arrest and throughout his trial, Mr. Amanklychev was held in custody in Ashgabat at the Ministry of National Security’s pre-trial detention center. Following his conviction, Mr. Amanklychev was transferred to and incarcerated in Turkmenbashi Prison, a high-security detention facility located in the Caspian Sea desert area in Turkmenistan.

5. Authorities that ordered the detention: Mr. Amanklychev was convicted and sentenced by the Azatlyk District Court of Ashgabat. However, as explained below, it is suspected that his arrest and prosecution was actually ordered and directed by high officials in the Turkmenistan government, including the then-President of Turkmenistan Saparmyrat Niyazov and then-Minister of National Security Geldimukhammet Asyrmukhammedov.

6. Reasons for the detention imputed by the authorities: Nearly one month after Mr. Amanklychev was detained, Turkmen authorities charged him with illegal possession of munitions. But at the time of his arrest, Mr. Amanklychev was accused publicly by then-President of Turkmenistan Saparmyrat Niyazov and then-Minister of National Security Geldimukhammet Asyrmukhammedov of “conspiring with foreigners to destabilise the state.” Mr. Asyrmukhammedov stated in a television broadcast that Mr. Amanklychev “was trying to collect defamatory information about Turkmenistan and cause discontent among people on instructions of […] traitors of the motherland and foreign-based centres of destabilization.” And similarly, a government-sponsored news article accused Mr. Amanklychev of involvement in “subversive acts and collection of defamatory information in Turkmenistan in order to create

---
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Mr. Asyrmukhammedov also accused Mr. Amanklychev of being trained in Ukraine for “intelligence gathering and sabotage in Turkmenistan, as well as methods used in the ‘Orange Revolution’ in Ukraine.”19 These facts indicate that Mr. Amanklychev has been illegally detained for his human rights activities and his involvement in the filming of a documentary. They further suggest that the munitions-related charges that form the sole basis of his conviction were fabricated.

7. Relevant legislation applied (if known): Mr. Amanklychev was charged with possession of illegal munitions in violation of Article 287.2 of the Turkmen Criminal Code. He was never formally charged with violating any other laws.

IV. DESCRIBE THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE ARREST AND/OR THE DETENTION AND INDICATE PRECISE REASONS WHY YOU CONSIDER THE ARREST OR DETENTION TO BE ARBITRARY

I. Statement of Facts

Part A of this section of the Petition discusses Turkmenistan and its documented history of arbitrary detention and political suppression. Part B presents the case of the Petitioner, Annakurban Amanklychev, an independent Turkmen journalist who has been arbitrarily detained by Turkmen authorities for almost four years.

A. The Republic of Turkmenistan and its History of Arbitrary Detention and the Suppression of the Freedoms of Speech and Press

Although Turkmenistan is formally a democracy and presidential republic, it has largely operated as an authoritarian regime since it declared independence from the Soviet Union in 1991.20 Until his sudden death in December 2006, Turkmenistan was controlled by dictatorial President-for-life Saparmyrat Niyazov. The former head of the Turkmen Communist Party and leader of the Turkmen Soviet Socialist Republic,21 President Niyazov insisted on being called “Turkmenbashi,” or “father of the Turkmen.”22

After President Niyazov’s death, Turkmenistan elected its current president, Gurbanguly Berdimuhamedov, from a pool of six candidates, all nominated by the Halk Maslahaty (People’s Council). According to the U.S. Department of State’s 2008 Human Rights Report on Turkmenistan, that election did not meet international standards. In 2008, Turkmenistan adopted a new constitution, which revised many national laws and, in principle, protected rights in an attempt to better conform with international standards. Though the new constitution is an improvement, Turkmenistan continues to lag far behind most of the world in the protection of civil liberties and human rights.

2. Turkmenistan’s History of Political Suppression

Turkmenistan operates under a single-party political system in which dissent is not tolerated and opposition to government is characterized as treason. According to the U.S. Department of State, the Turkmenistan government violates its citizens’ civil liberties and human rights through its citizens’ inability to change their government, continued torture and mistreatment of detainees, arbitrary arrests and incommunicado detentions, denial of due process and fair trial, restricted freedoms of speech, press, assembly, association, religion and movement, and violence against women. In 2003, the People’s Council on Treason in the Fatherland issued a regulation that prohibited “attempts to sow doubts about the domestic and international policies of the president of Turkmenistan,” and punished these acts with life imprisonment. There exist several reports of journalists and religious individuals who are arbitrarily detained, and of detainees who died under suspicious circumstances while in detention.

One key facet of Turkmenistan’s grip on political expression is its complete control of the media. The 2008 edition of the Reporters Without Borders’ Freedom Index ranks Turkmenistan at 171 out of 173 countries for press freedom. With few exceptions, the state owns all print and electronic media in Turkmenistan, and editors and managerial staff are presidential appointees.

---
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30 Id.
32 HRW REPORT, supra note 28, at 20.
The state also regularly harasses foreign journalists or forbids them from entering the country at all. Furthermore, the state, which owns the only Internet service provider in the country, reportedly filters and blocks Internet usage and allegedly tracks Internet usage at Internet cafes. In a 2006 report, the Committee to Protect Journalists ranked Turkmenistan the third-most censored country in the world, behind only North Korea and Burma.

B. The Arbitrary Detention of Annakurban Amanklychev

1. Background Information on Annakurban Amanklychev

The Petitioner, Annakurban Amanklychev, is an independent journalist and member of the Turkmenistan Helsinki Foundation (THF), a Bulgaria-based, independent non-profit organization established in 2003 to “watch human rights, freedom and protection of people of Turkmenistan and to sharpen [sic] the attention of the democratic world to the situation in the country.” THF also aims to “help and support everyone who suffers because of his convictions and understandings.”

Mr. Amanklychev is a human rights activist who has a history of peaceful and legal human rights work. He has participated in non-violent human rights training exercises in Poland and Ukraine. In Poland, Mr. Amanklychev attended the International Summer School for Human Rights, an annual training held by the Helsinki Foundation for Human Rights that teaches the historical and philosophical background of human rights, as well as international and domestic human rights law. In Ukraine, Mr. Amanklychev attended a training held by Donetsky Memorial, a non-violent group that conducts educational seminars on prison reform.

Mr. Amanklychev has also worked with French and British journalists to publicly expose the failures of the Turkmen government to deliver adequate health care and respect human rights.

33 See id. at 20-23.
34 See id. at 23.
35 COMMITTEE TO PROTECT JOURNALISTS, SPECIAL REPORT: 10 MOST CENSORED COUNTRIES (2006), http://www.cpj.org/censored/censored_06.html.
36 TURKMENISTAN - HUMAN RIGHTS REPORT 2006, supra note 5.
38 Id.
Prior to his arrest, Mr. Amanklychev lived with his wife, three children, elderly mother, brothers, sisters, nephews and nieces. He was the main income-earner in his family. In addition to supporting his wife and children, he also provided financial support to his mother and to his nephews and nieces, whose parents had difficulty finding work. Since his arrest, Mr. Amanklychev’s wife has had to return to work instead of staying at home caring for their children. Similarly, Mr. Amanklychev’s nephew had to withdraw from a school for the gifted due to financial reasons. Finally, Mr. Amanklychev’s entire family has been harassed by Turkmen officials (including having their telephones tapped) and has been prohibited from receiving certain government benefits and from leaving the country.

2. Arbitrary Arrest, Conviction, and Subsequent Imprisonment

Turkmen officials from the Ministry of National Security arrested Mr. Amanklychev at his home in Ashgabat, Turkmenistan on June 16, 2006.\(^4^4\) Two days later, on June 18, 2006, police arrested fellow journalists and human rights activists Sapardurdy Khadzhiev, a THF member, and Ogulsapar Muradova, a reporter for Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty and a former THF member.\(^4^5\) Amnesty International reports that a total of seven individuals—including Mr. Amanklychev, Mr. Khadzhiev, and Ms. Muradova—were detained by Turkmen government authorities in June 2006 “solely because they are members, or relatives of members, of the non-governmental human rights organisation Turkmenistan Helsinki Foundation (THF), which has publicised human rights violations in the country.”\(^4^6\) None of the journalists were notified of the charges against him/her,\(^4^7\) and they were not formally charged with a crime until July 12, 2006\(^4^8\)—nearly one month after their initial arrests.

Prior to trial, and for over two months, Turkmen authorities held Mr. Amanklychev, Mr. Khadzhiev, and Ms. Muradova incommunicado at the pretrial detention center of the Ministry of National Security. Mr. Amanklychev was held in solitary confinement and under harsh conditions: he was deprived of food and water, and was often prohibited from using the toilet, which was located outside his cell. Turkmen authorities also threatened him and his family, injected him with psychotropic drugs, and deprived him of medical care. Throughout this time, Turkmen authorities provided no notice to Mr. Amanklychev of the actual charges against him.

The Turkmen government provided Mr. Amanklychev and his co-defendants with a state-appointed attorney, but this attorney avoided meeting them and tried to convince them to concede the charges. Meanwhile, Mr. Amanklychev’s chosen private attorney, Kakazhan Kadyrov, was deprived of basic information related to his client: Mr. Kadyrov learned of the espionage accusation on June 18, 2006 from a televised broadcast by the Minister of National Security, and he only learned of the munitions-related charges against Mr. Amanklychev a few

\(^{44}\) TURKMENISTAN - HUMAN RIGHTS REPORT 2006, supra note 5.

\(^{45}\) Id.


\(^{47}\) TURKMENISTAN - HUMAN RIGHTS REPORT 2006, supra note 5.

days before the trial. In addition, Mr. Kadyrov was not made aware of Mr. Amanklychev’s trial date until just before it occurred.

On August 25, 2006, Mr. Amanklychev, Mr. Khadzhiev, and Ms. Muradova were formally tried for “possession of illegal munitions.” It was a brief in camera trial that reportedly lasted only minutes. Although their private attorneys were allowed to formally attend the trial, the court denied Mr. Amanklychev and his co-defendants’ requests to call witnesses on their behalf. Meanwhile, soldiers prevented the defendants’ relatives and other members of the public from accessing the court; soldiers and police officers controlled the building’s courtyard and adjacent areas, and recorded everyone who tried to access the court building. Mr. Amanklychev, Mr. Kadzhiev, and Ms. Muradova were summarily convicted and sentenced to six to seven years imprisonment. Almost four years later, Mr. Amanklychev and Mr. Kadzhiev remain imprisoned in Turkmenistan. Ms. Muradova died in custody shortly after her conviction.

3. Political Motivation for the Arrest

The official reason given for the journalists’ detainment was a charge of possession of illegal munitions, in violation of Article 287.2 of the Turkmen Criminal Code. However, credible sources report that these charges were entirely fabricated. The convictions were based on police statements that the police discovered weapons in Mr. Amanklychev’s car. But according to a THF report and private statements by relatives, Mr. Amanklychev’s family members observed security officers tossing a parcel into Mr. Amanklychev’s car on the day of his arrest. Reporters Without Borders, a non-profit organization committed to press freedom, asserts that the weapons conviction was based on nothing more than “trumped up charges.” And Front Line, an international foundation for the protection of human rights defenders, also asserts that the charges were fabricated to prevent Mr. Amanklychev from continuing “legitimate and peaceful work in the defence of human rights.”

50 Id.
51 Id.
52 Id.
53 TURKMENISTAN - HUMAN RIGHTS REPORT 2006, supra note 5.
54 Jean-Christophe Peuch, supra note 2.
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The circumstances of the arrests support these conclusions. At the time of their arrests, Mr. Amanklychev and others were working with French producers at Galaxie Press to create a documentary that criticized the Turkmen government. The film, “Turkmenistan: Welcome to Niyazovland,” exposes the failing Turkmen health and education systems, and then-President Niyazov’s ‘personality cult.’ It was later broadcasted on a program called “Envoyé Spécial” on the France 2 television channel on September 28, 2006. Previously, Mr. Amanklychev had also assisted foreign journalists from the British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC) with recording a radio program on Turkmen health care and human rights. That program was broadcasted by the BBC on November 17, 2005.

Furthermore, the journalists were all members of the Bulgaria-based human rights group, the Turkmen Helsinki Foundation. Mr. Amankylchev had also participated in peaceful and non-violent human rights training exercises held in Ukraine and Poland, which focused on prison reform and the historical and philosophical background of human rights and international and domestic human rights law.

Statements by government officials after the arrests confirm that the journalists were detained due to their journalism-related activities, and not for possession of illegal munitions. After his arrest, then-President of Turkmenistan Saparmyrat Niyazov and then-Minister of National Security Geldimukhammet Asyrmukhammedov accused Mr. Amanklychev of “conspiring with foreigners to destabilize the state.” In a televised speech, President Niyazov announced:

I don’t know why [Mr. Amanklychev and Mr. Khadzhiev] are engaged in such dirty business in Turkmenistan, a peaceful country where justice is ruling and where nobody is disgraced [...] Let people condemn the traitors. The entire population is proud of

---
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their motherland, whereas they are trying to harm it. Go ahead with your fight against such people.\footnote{See Committee to Protect Journalists, \textit{CPJ Alarmed by Reports of Abuse in Journalist’s Detention}, Aug. 14, 2006, \url{http://cpj.org/2006/08/cpj-alarmed-by-reports-of-abuse-in-journalists-det.php}.}

Mr. Asyrmukhammedov also claimed in a television broadcast that Mr. Amanklychev “was trying to collect defamatory information about Turkmenistan and cause discontent among people on instructions of […] traitors of the motherland and foreign-based centres of destabilization.”\footnote{Amnesty International, \textit{Further Info. on UA 172/06} (July 4, 2006), \url{http://asiapacific.amnesty.org/library/index/ENGEUR610052006?open&of=ENG-TKM}.} Turkmen authorities even accused Mr. Amanklychev of receiving “secret video equipment” from a French Embassy cultural advisor\footnote{Government of Turkmenistan, \textit{Turkmenistan’s Security Services Report Illegal Activities by Certain Turkmen and French Citizens}, June 20, 2006, \url{http://www.turkmenistan.ru/?page_id=3&lang_id=en&elem_id=8255&type=event&sort=date_desc}.} (in reality, Mr. Amanklychev had been sent standard audiovisual equipment by a cultural advisor who served as a liaison for foreign journalists, and the French Foreign Ministry, the OSCE, and Galaxie Presse have vigorously denied allegations of espionage\footnote{See International Freedom of Expression eXchange, \textit{Two Jailed Journalists Charged, Investigated for Weapons Possession; International Organisations Say Accusations “Groundless”}, July 20, 2006, \url{http://www.ifex.org/2006/07/20/two_jailed_journalists_charged/}.}). Turkmen authorities even accused Mr. Amanklychev of receiving “secret video equipment” from a French Embassy cultural advisor\footnote{Government of Turkmenistan, \textit{Turkmenistan’s Security Services Report Illegal Activities by Certain Turkmen and French Citizens}, June 20, 2006, \url{http://www.turkmenistan.ru/?page_id=3&lang_id=en&elem_id=8255&type=event&sort=date_desc}.} (in reality, Mr. Amanklychev had been sent standard audiovisual equipment by a cultural advisor who served as a liaison for foreign journalists, and the French Foreign Ministry, the OSCE, and Galaxie Presse have vigorously denied allegations of espionage\footnote{Amnesty International, \textit{Further Info. on UA 172/06} (July 4, 2006), \url{http://asiapacific.amnesty.org/library/index/ENGEUR610052006?open&of=ENG-TKM}.}).

Finally, Turkmen officials and the Turkmenistan State News Service also claimed at the time of his arrest that Mr. Amanklychev was trained “on the methods of secret gathering of information in order to encourage public discontent and provoke public demonstrations against the government” because he had attended human rights training courses in Donetsk, Ukraine and Warsaw, Poland.\footnote{Amnesty International, \textit{Further Info. on UA 172/06} (July 4, 2006), \url{http://asiapacific.amnesty.org/library/index/ENGEUR610052006?open&of=ENG-TKM}.} Mr. Asyrmukhammedov even accused Mr. Amanklychev of being trained in Ukraine for “intelligence gathering and sabotage in Turkmenistan, as well as methods used in the ‘Orange Revolution’ in Ukraine.”\footnote{Id.} He also expressed suspicion concerning Mr. Amanklychev’s attendance at the International Summer School for human rights activists, which the Helsinki Foundation for Human Rights holds periodically in Warsaw.\footnote{Id.}

It should be noted that the courses’ sponsors—the Donetsk chapter of Memorial and the Polish Helsinki Foundation for Human Rights—confirm that Mr. Amanklychev’s participation was related solely to human rights training. The Turkmenistan Helsinki Foundation further confirms that money given to Mr. Amanklychev at the time was \textit{per diem} payment and

All of the above statements directly reference—and plainly state that his detainment is based on—Mr. Amanklychev’s work with foreign journalists, association with THF, and participation in peaceful and non-violent human rights trainings. Tellingly, the Turkmen government never charged Mr. Amanklychev with espionage, treason, or any other crime that they accused him of committing at the time of his arrest. Instead, they convicted him of weapons possession, a charge that did not come to light until after Mr. Amanklychev had been detained for nearly a month. Given the Turkmen government’s public condemnation and hostile description of Mr. Amanklychev’s journalism and human-rights activities, there is little doubt that the weapons charge for which Mr. Amanklychev was convicted was merely a false pretense offered \textit{post facto} for his arrest. Mr. Amanklychev has been imprisoned for almost four years for one reason only: he exercised his civil liberties.

4. Mistreatment

While in custody, Mr. Amanklychev has been subjected to torture and other physical abuse at the hands of the Turkmen government. Soon after his arrest, an Interior Ministry official involved in his detainment told Mr. Amanklychev’s family, “[y]ou wouldn’t recognize him. After three days of uninterrupted questioning, he’s simply unrecognizable.”\footnote{Jean-Christophe Peuch, \textit{supra} note 2.} At times of his detainment, Mr. Amanklychev has been held in solitary confinement, and deprived of food, water, medical attention, and even use of the lavatory. He has been administered psychotropic drugs, and threatened with harm to his family if he did not cooperate.

Moreover, Mr. Amanklychev’s colleague and co-defendant, Ogulsapar Muradova, was evidently tortured and likely murdered by Turkmenistan government officials while in government custody. On September 14, 2006, less than three weeks after the convictions, Turkmen authorities informed Ms. Muradova’s family that she had died. Though the government claimed that she died of “natural causes,” her body was badly beaten.\footnote{Anonymous International, \textit{Further Info. on UA 172/06} (July 4, 2006), http://asiapacific.amnesty.org/library/index/ENGEUR610052006?open&of=ENG-TKM.} According to Ms. Muradova’s relatives, when they retrieved her body, she had a head wound, bruises from strangulation, puncture marks from injections, and a broken leg.\footnote{See Lucy Ash, \textit{Grim Legacy of Grandiose Leader}, BBC NEWS, Dec. 21, 2006, http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/6201669.stm.}

It should be noted that the use of torture in Turkmen prisons is widely reported. Commenting on this fact, exiled former Turkmenistan Foreign Minister Avdy Kuliev has
observed, “I think it’s probably better to be sentenced to a firing squad than to be imprisoned in Turkmenistan.”

In addition to torture and other physical abuse, Mr. Amanklychev has been held in relative isolation from the time of his arrest. Having detained him since June 2006, Turkmen authorities did not allow Mr. Amanklychev to receive visitors until 2009. Even now, he can only be visited by his wife, and she is only allowed to see him twice per year. Mr. Amanklychev can only receive parcels from his family twice per year.

Finally, recent reports indicate that Mr. Amanklychev’s “health has deteriorated” and that he is suffering “ailments affecting the stomach, kidneys, legs and joints,” and blood pressure. Turkmen prison facilities are also generally reported as being tuberculosis-ridden, overcrowded, and providing inadequate medical attention or nutrition. Mr. Amanklychev is currently imprisoned in the Caspian Sea desert area in Turkmenistan, which is known for its extreme hot/cold climate.

5. Public Criticism of the Arrest

The international community has been vocal in publicly criticizing the unlawful arrest and continued arbitrary detention of Mr. Amanklychev. On July 17, 2006, a coalition of nine nongovernmental human rights organizations issued an open letter urging “the unconditional release of Amanklychev, Muradova, and Khajiev, and, pending their release, to allow them immediate access to the attorney of their choice, to their families, to medical care and food in conformity with international minimum standards of treatment of detainees, and to international monitors and physical integrity.” On September 8, 2007, Reporters Without Borders issued a similar open letter to President Gurbanguly Berdimuhamedov that criticized the detention and called for their release.

More recently, a group of eight nongovernmental international human rights organizations issued an open letter to President Berdimuhamedov requesting that the President

---
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grant Mr. Amanlychev amnesty as part of National Flag Day in Turkmenistan. In addition, on December 9, 2009, U.S. Senators Durbin, Lieberman, Cardin, and Brownback wrote to President Berdimuhamedov requesting “information and assistance” regarding Mr. Amanlychev and Mr. Khadzhiev’s detention, noting that “[t]he legal bases for the detention of these individuals are suspect at best and raise serious concerns of political intimidation, questionable charges, closed trials, and inappropriately punitive punishments.”

Amnesty International USA has also requested that individuals send letters to the President of Turkmenistan asking him to “[i]mmediately and unconditionally release all prisoners of conscience, including Annakurban Amanlychev and Sapardurdy Khadzhiev.” The Turkmen Helsinki Foundation for Human Rights has done the same. As of February 2009, THF had received 5,750 letters “expressing their support for the illegally sentenced Turkmen human rights activists Annakurban Amanlychev and Sapardurdy Khajiyev.”

II. Analysis

Turkmenistan has committed itself internationally and domestically to protecting certain human rights. Turkmenistan acceded to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) in 1997. In addition, Turkmenistan has been a member of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization’s “Partnership for Peace” Program since 1994, which obligates Turkmenistan to uphold the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR). Finally, the 2008 and 1992 Turkmenistan Constitutions impose domestic obligations on Turkmenistan to protect certain human rights. The 1992 Turkmenistan Constitution was in effect at the time of Mr. Amanlychev’s arrest in 2006, while the 2008 Turkmenistan Constitution is currently in effect.


Given these international and domestic obligations, Turkmenistan’s detention of Annakurban Amanklychev constitutes an arbitrary deprivation of liberty, falling into Categories II and III of cases identified by the Working Group.93

Mr. Amanklychev’s case qualifies as a Category II detention because it can be imputed that government authorities in Turkmenistan detained him based on the peaceful exercise of his fundamental right to freedom of expression, which includes the freedoms of association, press and speech. In particular, the Turkmenistan government’s detention of Mr. Amanklychev transgresses Article 19 of the UDHR and Article 19 of the ICCPR, which guarantee the right “to seek, receive and impart information and ideas.” In addition, Mr. Amanklychev’s detention is inconsistent with Article 20 of the UDHR and Article 22 of the ICCPR, which guarantee the freedom of association.

Detaining Mr. Amanklychev based on the peaceful exercise of his fundamental right to freedom of expression also infringes Article 28 (protecting freedom of thought and expression, and freedom to obtain information), Article 29 (protecting the freedom of association), and Article 39 (protecting artistic and technical expression) of the 2008 Turkmenistan Constitution.94 At the time of his arrest, these actions were also violations of Article 26 (protecting the freedoms of conviction and expression), Article 28 (protecting the freedom of association) and Article 36 (protecting artistic and technical expression) of the 1992 Turkmenistan Constitution.95

Mr. Amanklychev’s case also qualifies as a Category III detention because Turkmenistan has denied him a fair trial. Specifically, the failure of the Turkmen authorities to notify Mr. Amanklychev of the charges against him at the time of his arrest is inconsistent with Article 9 of the ICCPR. In addition, the cursory in camera trial of Mr. Amanklychev, including his limited access to counsel, the rejection of witnesses on his behalf, and the exclusion of Mr. Amanklychev’s relatives and supporters from the court96 infringed Article 10 and Article 11 of the UDHR (guaranteeing a “public” trial) and Article 14 of the ICCPR (guaranteeing an individual’s right to “defend himself in person or through legal assistance of his own choosing” and preserving the right “to a fair and public hearing by a competent, independent and impartial tribunal”).

These practices also violated Article 43 and Article 108 of the 2008 Turkmenistan Constitution (protecting the right to judicial defense of one’s honor and dignity and guaranteeing the right to professional legal assistance)97 and Article 108 of the 1992 Turkmenistan Constitution (protecting the right to counsel).98

94 See 2008 TURKMENISTAN CONSTITUTION, supra note 92.
95 See 1992 TURKMENISTAN CONSTITUTION, supra note 92.
97 See 2008 TURKMENISTAN CONSTITUTION, supra note 92.
98 See 1992 TURKMENISTAN CONSTITUTION, supra note 92, at art. 108.
A. Turkmenistan’s Detention of Mr. Amanklychev Resulted from the Exercise of His Fundamental Right to Freedom of Expression, including the Rights of Free Association, Free Press and Free Speech

The UDHR, ICCPR and the Turkmenistan Constitution protect the freedom of expression and the accompanying rights of free association, press and speech. Article 20 of the UDHR guarantees the “right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association.”99 Similarly, Article 22 of the ICCPR guarantees the “right to freedom of association with others,”100 and Article 29 of the 2008 Turkmenistan Constitution also protects the freedom of assembly.101 Article 28 of the 1992 Turkmenistan Constitution provides similar protection.102 In addition, Article 19 of the UDHR guarantees the right “to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers.”103 This right is augmented by Article 19 of the ICCPR, which similarly provides for the right “to seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds, regardless of frontiers.”104

Correspondingly, Article 28 of the 2008 Turkmenistan Constitution protects the freedom of thought and expression, and the freedom to obtain information.105 Article 26 of the 1992 Constitution similarly protected the right to freedom of conviction and expression of those convictions.106 Furthermore, Article 39 of the 2008 Turkmenistan Constitution and Article 36 of the 1992 Constitution protect artistic and technical expression.107

Mr. Amanklychev’s arrest and detention by the Turkmen authorities was intended to infringe, actually infringed, and continues to infringe his right to peaceful assembly and association and therefore directly violates each of these provisions of law.

---

99 The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, art. 20(1) (“Everyone has the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association.”) (hereinafter UDHR).
100 International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, art. 22 (“Everyone shall have the right to freedom of association with others, including the right to form and join trade unions for the protection of his interests.”) (hereinafter ICCPR).
101 See 2008 TURKMENISTAN CONSTITUTION, supra note 92, at art. 29.
102 See 1992 TURKMENISTAN CONSTITUTION, supra note 92, at art. 28.
103 See UDHR, supra note 99, at art. 19 (“Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers.”)
104 See ICCPR, supra note 100, at art. 19(2) (“Everyone shall have the right to freedom of expression; this right shall include freedom to seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds, regardless of frontiers, either orally, in writing or in print, in the form of art, or through any other media of his choice.”).
105 See 2008 TURKMENISTAN CONSTITUTION, supra note 92, at art. 28.
At the time of his arrest, Mr. Amanklychev was a member of the human rights group THF, had participated in peaceful and non-violent human rights training exercises in Ukraine and Poland, and was working with French producers to create a documentary critical of then-President Niyazov. Statements by high-ranking Turkmen government officials after Mr. Amanklychev’s arrest confirm that his detainment is based on journalism-related activities, and not for possession of illegal munitions, as subsequently claimed. For example, after his arrest, then-President Niyazov and then-Minister of National Security Geldimukhammet Asyrmukhammedov accused Mr. Amanklychev of “conspiring with foreigners to destabilize the state.” Mr. Asyrmukhammedov also announced in a television broadcast that Mr. Amanklychev “was trying to collect defamatory information about Turkmenistan and cause discontent among people on instructions of […] traitors of the motherland and foreign-based centres of destabilization.” Mr. Asyrmukhammedov further accused Mr. Amanklychev of being trained in Ukraine for “intelligence gathering and sabotage in Turkmenistan, as well as methods used in the ‘Orange Revolution’ in Ukraine.” These statements directly reference—and plainly state that his detainment is based on—Mr. Amanklychev’s work with foreign journalists, association with THF, and participation in peaceful human rights trainings.

It is also widely acknowledged by independent observers that the criminal charges against Mr. Amanklychev were fabricated. The official charge against Mr. Amanklychev was “possession of illegal munitions” in violation of Article 287-2 of the Turkmen Criminal Code. The charges were based on police statements that weapons were found in Mr. Amanklychev’s car. However, eyewitnesses observed security officers tossing the parcel in question into Mr. Amanklychev’s car on the day of his arrest. The clearly stated motives for the arrest, and blatant pretext for his subsequent conviction, have caught the attention of the world community. Reporters Without Borders has concluded that the possession of illegal munitions charges were nothing more than “trumped up charges.” And Frontline, an international foundation for the protection of human rights defenders, has likewise asserted that the charges were invented to prevent Mr. Amanklychev from continuing his “legitimate and peaceful work in the defense of human rights.” Because Mr. Amanklychev’s detention was based on false charges and was

109 See Jean-Christophe Peuch, supra note 2.
110 See TURKMENISTAN - HUMAN RIGHTS REPORT 2006, supra note 5.
111 See id.
113 Id.
114 Jean-Christophe Peuch, supra note 2.
merely a pretense to deny him his freedom of expression, his confinement clearly qualifies as a Category II detention.

Though Articles 19(3) and 22(2) of the ICCPR allow the restriction of the right to freedom of expression in certain circumstances, those circumstances do not present themselves here. The right to freedom of expression can only be constrained when “provided by law” and when either: (i) “necessary for the respect of the rights or reputations of others”; or (ii) “necessary for the protection of national security or of public order (ordre public), or of public health or morals.” These conditions are not applicable to Mr. Amanklychev’s case because Mr. Amanklychev was detained based on false accusations and fabricated evidence. There is no credible evidence that Mr. Amanklychev was involved in any violent or criminal activity.

Moreover, Turkmen law does not prohibit peaceful association, press or speech. To the contrary, Article 28, Article 29, and Article 39 of the 2008 Turkmenistan Constitution (and Article 26, Article 28, and Article 36 of the 1992 Turkmenistan Constitution) explicitly protect the freedoms of association, press and speech. Therefore, Mr. Amanklychev’s freedom of expression was unlawfully and arbitrarily constrained because he was arrested on “trumped up charges” that were not “provided by law.”

The arbitrary arrest and detention of Mr. Amanklychev was clearly undertaken with the aim of infringing Mr. Amanklychev’s right to freedom of expression, free association, and free press in violation of Articles 19 and 22 of the ICCPR, Articles 19 and 20 of the UDHR, Articles 28, 29 and 39 of the 2008 Turkmenistan Constitution and Articles 26, 28 and 36 of the 1992 Turkmenistan Constitution. As such, his case qualifies as a Class II detention as categorized by the Working Group.

B. Turkmenistan’s Detention of Mr. Amanklychev Resulted from the Failure to Provide Mr. Amanklychev with His Right to a Fair Trial

The UDHR, ICCPR and the Turkmenistan Constitution protect the right to a fair trial. Article 9 of the ICCPR provides that “[a]nyone who is arrested shall be informed, at the time of arrest, of the reasons for his arrest and shall be promptly informed of any charges against him.” In addition, Article 10 and Article 11 of the UDHR guarantee the right to a “public”

---

117 See ICCPR, supra note 100, at art. 19(3) (“The exercise of the rights provided for in paragraph 2 of this article carries with it special duties and responsibilities. It may therefore be subject to certain restrictions, but these shall only be such as are provided by law and are necessary: (a) For respect of the rights or reputations of others; (b) For the protection of national security or of public order (ordre public), or of public health or morals.”); id. at art. 22(2) (“No restrictions may be placed on the exercise of this right other than those which are prescribed by law and which are necessary in a democratic society in the interests of national security or public safety, public order (ordre public), the protection of public health or morals or the protection of the rights and freedoms of others.”).


119 See 2008 TURKMENISTAN CONSTITUTION, supra note 92; 1992 TURKMENISTAN CONSTITUTION, supra note 92.

120 See ICCPR, supra note 100, at art. 9(2) (“Anyone who is arrested shall be informed, at the time of arrest, of the reasons for his arrest and shall be promptly informed of any charges against him.”).
trial by an “independent and impartial tribunal.” Similarly, Article 14 of the ICCPR preserves the right “to a fair and public hearing by a competent, independent and impartial tribunal.” Moreover, Article 14 of the ICCPR protects an individual’s right to “defend himself in person or through legal assistance of his own choosing.”

The Turkmenistan Constitution also provides for the right to an attorney: Article 43 of the 2008 Turkmenistan Constitution and Article 40 of the 1992 Constitution preserve the right to judicial defense of honor and dignity, while Article 108 of the 2008 Constitution and Article 108 of the 1992 Constitution guarantee the right to professional legal assistance.

The Turkmen authorities violated each of these provisions of law by failing to provide Mr. Amanklychev with a fair trial. Step by step, the Turkmen governmental authorities deprived Mr. Amanklychev of his civil liberties. And the Turkmen judicial authorities ultimately convicted Mr. Amanklychev for a crime he did not commit and have detained him for nearly four years without providing him with his basic right to a fair trial.

First, the Turkmen government failed to notify Mr. Amanklychev of the charges against him at the time of his arrest. Turkmen authorities did not formally charge Mr. Amanklychev with a crime until July 12, nearly one month after his arrest—and they based their charges on fabricated evidence for a crime Mr. Amanklychev did not commit. Second, throughout the two months following his arrest, Turkmen authorities held Mr. Amanklychev incommunicado, and deprived his chosen attorney of information regarding his case; his attorney did not even learn

121 See UDHR, supra note 99, at art. 10 (“Everyone is entitled in full equality to a fair and public hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal, in the determination of his rights and obligations and of any criminal charge against him.”); id. at art. 11(1) (“Everyone charged with a penal offence has the right to be presumed innocent until proved guilty according to law in a public trial at which he has had all the guarantees necessary for his defence.”).

122 See ICCPR, supra note 100, at art. 14(1) (“All persons shall be equal before the courts and tribunals. In the determination of any criminal charge against him, or of his rights and obligations in a suit at law, everyone shall be entitled to a fair and public hearing by a competent, independent and impartial tribunal established by law. The press and the public may be excluded from all or part of a trial for reasons of morals, public order (ordre public) or national security in a democratic society, or when the interest of the private lives of the parties so requires, or to the extent strictly necessary in the opinion of the court in special circumstances where publicity would prejudice the interests of justice; but any judgment rendered in a criminal case or in a suit at law shall be made public except where the interest of juvenile persons otherwise requires or the proceedings concern matrimonial disputes or the guardianship of children.”).

123 See id at art. 14(3)(d) (“In the determination of any criminal charge against him, everyone shall be entitled to the following minimum guarantees, in full equality . . . to be tried in his presence, and to defend himself in person or through legal assistance of his own choosing; to be informed, if he does not have legal assistance, of this right; and to have legal assistance assigned to him, in any case where the interests of justice so require, and without payment by him in any such case if he does not have sufficient means to pay for it.”).

124 See 2008 TURKMENISTAN CONSTITUTION, supra note 92, at art. 43; 1992 TURKMENISTAN CONSTITUTION, supra note 92, at art. 40.

125 See 2008 TURKMENISTAN CONSTITUTION, supra note 92, at art. 108; 1992 TURKMENISTAN CONSTITUTION, supra note 92, at art. 108.

126 See TURKMENISTAN – HUMAN RIGHTS REPORT 2006, supra note 5.

the charges or the trial date until just before the trial. Furthermore, the attorney that the Turkmenistan government provided to Mr. Amanklychev did not act in Mr. Amanklychev’s interest, avoided meeting Mr. Amanklychev, and even tried to convince him to confess to the false charges. When Mr. Amanklychev was finally tried, the trial occurred in camera and reportedly lasted only a few minutes. During the trial, Mr. Amanklychev was forbidden from calling witnesses to testify on his behalf. And finally, throughout the proceeding, Mr. Amanklychev’s relatives and the general public were physically barred from entering the court, and many were intimidated from even attempting access by soldiers and officers who recorded each person who tried to enter the court building.

Mr. Amanklychev was, therefore, deprived of his right to a fair and public trial, and prevented from presenting the proper defense to which he was entitled. The facts surrounding Mr. Amanklychev’s trial also call into question the independence and impartiality of the Turkmenistan court system. By convicting and detaining Mr. Amanklychev based on falsified evidence, without notice of the charges, without meaningful assistance of counsel, without the right to call witnesses, and without public access to the trial, Turkmenistan deprived Mr. Amanklychev of the basic right to a fair trial.

The failure of the Turkmen authorities to furnish Mr. Amanklychev with a fair trial clearly violates Articles 9(2), 14(1) and 14(3)(4) of the ICCPR, Articles 10 and 11 of the UDHR, and Articles 43 and 48 of the 2008 Turkmenistan Constitution and Articles 40 and 108 of the 1992 Turkmenistan Constitution. As such, his case qualifies as a Class III detention as categorized by the Working Group.

IV. INDICATE INTERNAL STEPS, INCLUDING DOMESTIC REMEDIES, TAKEN ESPECIALLY WITH THE LEGAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE AUTHORITIES, PARTICULARLY FOR THE PURPOSE OF ESTABLISHING THE DETENTION AND, AS APPROPRIATE, THEIR RESULTS OR THE REASONS WHY SUCH STEPS OR REMEDIES WERE INEFFECTIVE OR WHY THEY WERE NOT TAKEN.

Mr. Amanklychev has taken numerous actions within Turkmenistan since his 2006 arrest, but they have all proved unfruitful. Prior to his conviction, Mr. Amanklychev sought release from Mr. Toyly Durdiev, a supervisory investigator at the Ministry of National Security, and from the Turkmenistan Office of the Attorney General; neither of these parties responded to his requests. On August 30, 2006, Mr. Amanklychev formally appealed his sentence to the Supreme Court of Turkmenistan, but that body did not act on his behalf either. Mr. Amanklychev subsequently sought remedy from President Niyazov, the investigators of the Office of the Attorney General, and the Attorney General himself, but all to no avail.

In February 2007, Mr. Amanklychev filed a complaint with the Commission on Public Complaints Over the Activities of Law Enforcement Bodies, a commission that had recently been established by the new President Berdimuhamedov. Mr. Amanklychev never received a formal response to his complaint and was told unofficially by government officials that no basis

128 See TURKMENISTAN – HUMAN RIGHTS REPORT 2006, supra note 5.

129 See id.
existed for granting his request. Mr. Amanklychev has since requested a pardon from President Berdimuhamedov and has applied yearly for inclusion in the President’s annual pardon of convicts.

Despite all these steps, Mr. Amanklychev remains unlawfully detained by the Turkmen government nearly four years after his initial arrest.

V. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

Based on the foregoing, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Working Group conclude that Turkmenistan’s detention of Annakurban Amanklychev constitutes an arbitrary deprivation of liberty, falling into Categories II and III of cases identified by the Working Group.
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